

free good in the air, would no longer be going to the coal-fired plants.

So it's an incredible opportunity for us, Madam Speaker, that we begin this move towards fuels of the future. It starts with sound economics, conservative principles of accountability and of attaching externals to internalize the externals associated with some fossil fuels.

If we do that, Madam Speaker, the future is very bright in creating jobs in America. I am very excited about that and look forward to talking about it more with my colleagues as we go forward to figure out a way we can break this addiction to foreign oil and to power our lives in cleaner and job-producing ways.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. McHENRY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. McHENRY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### INVESTIGATE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EARMARK AND CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FLAKE. Madam Speaker, last week I offered a privileged resolution which would have required the House Ethics Committee to investigate the relationship between earmarks and campaign contributions.

This resolution was prompted by the revelation that the Department of Justice is investigating a powerhouse lobbying firm, the PMA Group, for irregularities, including apparent strawman contributions to Members of Congress. Many Members of Congress receiving PMA contributions have gone on to secure earmarks for the firm's clients.

This is no small matter. The PMA Group had revenues of 18 million last year alone, made contributions to more than 100 Members of this body and secured some 300 million in earmarks for its clients in one bill alone, the 2008 Defense Appropriations bill. My resolution last week was tabled with a vote of 226-182 with 12 Members voting present.

Now during the course of last week I had numerous discussions with Members of this body who felt that the "resolved" clauses in the resolution were too broad, that the Ethics Committee did not have the time or resources to undertake such a task. Now, for the record, I disagree. I feel that with such a cloud as this over this House, we have an obligation to do whatever it takes to ensure that the dignity and the decorum of the House are maintained.

But with the failure of last week's privileged resolution, the cloud over

the House remains, a cloud that will stay as long as we fail to take action. I have therefore narrowed the resolution.

I offered last week to address only the PMA Group. The new privileged resolution simply states that the House Ethics Committee will investigate the earmark company made on behalf of clients of the PMA Group. There are some who may believe that the announcement by the PMA Group that it will dissolve at the end of the month absolves us of our responsibility to take action. I would remind them that the omnibus spending bill that will likely go to the President later this week contains more than a dozen earmarks for clients of the PMA Group.

Let me put it in plain language. The legislation we will send to the President later this week contains no-bid contracts for clients of the PMA Group, an organization that is currently under investigation by the Department of Justice.

Further, there are Members of Congress who secured these no-bid contracts and received campaign contributions from the PMA Group, an organization that is currently under investigation by the U.S. Department of Justice. If this doesn't warrant an investigation by the House Ethics Committee, Madam Speaker, what does?

Again, Madam Speaker, let's be clear. This is not a partisan resolution. No Member of this body is referenced in the resolution, nor is there reference to a political party. The cloud that hangs over this institution rains on Republicans and Democrats alike. It is our responsibility, all of us, to let the sun shine on this institution once more.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PAUL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PAUL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### HONORING ARMY FIRST LIEUTENANT NICOLAS ESLINGER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. OLSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. OLSON. Madam Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to introduce my colleagues and the Nation to a constituent of the 22nd District and a true American hero.

His name is Army First Lieutenant Nicholas Eslinger, "Nick," from the great town of Missouri City, Texas, and his actions on the battlefield of Iraq are nothing short of extraordinary. While serving as a platoon leader during Operation Iraqi Freedom in Samarra during a dismounted patrol, First Lieutenant Eslinger and his men were attacked. When the enemy threw

a grenade at his men, Lieutenant Eslinger didn't dive for cover, he dove at the grenade, picked it up, and, like a Nolan Ryan fastball, threw it back at the enemy.

While his quick reaction saved the lives of his men, Lieutenant Eslinger wasn't finished. Like a true Texan, he took off after the enemy combatant, eventually leading to the enemy combatant's arrest and detention. For his quick thinking and courageous action, Lieutenant Eslinger was awarded our country's second highest combat award, the Silver Star.

This past Saturday I had the privilege and the opportunity to visit Nick, along with his mother Donna, his father Bruce, his brother Danny, and many neighbors and friends at their home in Missouri City. Before leaving, Lieutenant Eslinger gave me a unit medallion of the Charlie Company, 2nd Battalion, 327th Infantry Regiment of the 101st Airborne, commonly referred to as "No Slack." It is something I am honored to have received and something I will carry with me with pride for the rest of my life.

Yesterday my State celebrated the 173rd anniversary of the Texas Declaration of Independence. Early in our fight for independence, at the Battle of Gonzalez, the Mexican army tried to seize the town's only cannon. The volunteers of Gonzalez, facing a much larger professional military force, might have been smart to hand over that cannon. Instead, they raised a flag that said "Come and Take It." In Lieutenant Eslinger's brave actions, I see the same spirit of defiance in the face of violence and the refusal to be intimidated that helped my State to achieve its independence.

Among thousands of other men and women who make sacrifices and perform courageous deeds for their country, perhaps some at this very moment, Lieutenant Eslinger's actions are worthy of special recognition, and I am proud to do so today.

Nick, thank you for the coin. Thank you for your service. God bless you and your family.

□ 1700

#### BENEFITS OF THE ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. DAHLKEMPER). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Let me thank the Speaker for her leadership and the opportunity to address my colleagues on what I think is a very important topic.

Of course, first I wish to wish my great State of Texas happy independence day, March 2, 2009, which was yesterday, and celebrate the courage of those fighters who declared their independence from Mexico. Texans are an independent bunch, but we are a patriotic bunch and we love this country,